

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,826	01/08/2002		Richard J. Redpath	RSW920010167US1	2712
36736	7590	01/06/2005		EXAM	INER
DUKE W. YEE & AS		S D C	KINDRED, ALFORD W		
P.O. BOX 8		3, r.C.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX 75380				2163	
				DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•.	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Addison Communication	10/040,826	REDPATH, RICHARD J.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alford W. Kindred	2163					
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ation. 1 reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>28 July 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)[	This action is non-final.	·					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,12-17,23 and 25-29</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6,15-17 and 25-29</u> is/are allo 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,12-14 and 23</u> is/are rejecte 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration. owed. ed.	·					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap he priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to communication: Amendment A, filed on 07/28/2004.
 This action is made final.

### Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 4-6, 15-17, and 25-29 are allowed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 12-14 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lister et al., US# 2002/0178297 A1.

As per claim 1, Lister et al. teaches "receiving data from a server application" (see page 6, paragraphs [0049]-[0051]) "determining whether the data is serializable" (see page 4, paragraphs [0030] and [0032]) "storing the data in a data structure and forwarding, to a client, a reference to the data structure if the data is not serializable" (see page 4, paragraphs [0030] and [0036]).

Art Unit: 2163

As per claims 2-3, Lister et al. teaches "a hash table . . . key . . . " (see page 4, paragraph [0036])).

As per claims 12-14, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1-3 and are similarly rejected.

Art Unit: 2163

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 07/28/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As per applicant's arguments regarding "does not teach or suggest determining whether data is serializable and storing the data in a data structure if the data is not serializable", examiner maintains that Lister's teachings of objects and task descriptions clearly indicates that a determining element is present when identifying object, target liaisons, tasks description, etc., in a fashion as illustrated in applicant's claim language. Further, Lister's data structure stores both the serializable as well as other objects and therefore reads on applicant's claim language involving the storing of data in a data structure.

As per applicant's arguments regarding "Lister does not teach or suggest storing data in a data structure that comprises a hash table . . .", examiner maintains that Lister's "hash table", operates in a manner synonymous to applicant's hash table and therefore the teachings are substantially similar.

Art Unit: 2163

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 571-272-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2163

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alford W. Kindred Patent Examiner

Tech Ctr. 2100